

LATHAM & WATKINS LLP  
Daniel M. Wall (Cal. Bar No. 102580)  
Timothy L. O'Mara (Cal. Bar No. 212731)  
Kirsten M. Ferguson (Cal. Bar No. 252781)  
505 Montgomery Street, Suite 2000  
San Francisco, California 94111-6538  
Telephone: 415.391.0600  
Facsimile: 415.395.8095  
Email: Dan.Wall@lw.com  
Email: Tim.Omara@lw.com  
Email: Kirsten.Ferguson@lw.com

ROBINSON BRADSHAW & HINSON  
Nathan C. Chase, Jr. (Cal. Bar No. 247526)  
101 North Tryon Street, Suite 1900  
Charlotte, North Carolina 28246  
Telephone: 704.377.2536  
Facsimile: 704.373.3937  
Email: NChase@rbh.com

*Attorneys for Defendants Charlotte Pipe and Foundry  
Company and Randolph Holding Company, LLC*

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

A&S LIQUIDATING INC., on behalf of  
itself and all others similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

v.

AB&I FOUNDRY, TYLER PIPE  
COMPANY, MCWANE, INC.,  
CHARLOTTE PIPE AND FOUNDRY  
COMPANY, and RANDOLPH HOLDING  
COMPANY,

Defendants.

CASE NO. 13-cv-04568-EMC  
(and all related cases)

**~~PROPOSED~~ ORDER CONTINUING THE  
CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE  
AND DEADLINES UNDER FEDERAL  
RULE OF CIVIL PROCEDURE 26, AND  
EXTENDING TIME TO ANSWER OR  
OTHERWISE RESPOND TO THE  
COMPLAINTS**

**[CIVIL LOCAL RULES 6-2 & 6-1]**

Courtroom: 5, 17th Floor  
The Honorable Edward M. Chen

[Caption continued on next page.]

LAS VEGAS SUPPLY, INC., on behalf of  
itself and all others similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

v.

AB&I FOUNDRY, TYLER PIPE  
COMPANY, MCWANE, INC.,  
CHARLOTTE PIPE AND FOUNDRY  
COMPANY, and RANDOLPH HOLDING  
COMPANY,

Defendants.

CASE NO. 13-cv-04792-EMC

[Related Case]

**[PROPOSED] ORDER**

Based on the Parties' Stipulation, and good cause appearing, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED  
THAT:

1. The CMC is continued, ~~based on the Court's availability, until at least forty-five  
(45) days after the JPML resolves the MDL Motion.~~ to 6/19/14 at 9:00 a.m.

2. The Parties' meet-and-confer deadline pursuant to Rule 26(f) is continued until 21  
days after the JPML resolves the MDL Motion.

3. The deadline for the Parties' Rule 26(f) report and initial disclosures is continued  
until fourteen (14) days after the Parties' Rule 26(f) conference has concluded.

4. The time for Defendants to answer or otherwise respond to the Complaint is  
extended to twenty-one (21) days after (a) Plaintiffs' file a consolidated complaint, or (b) if the  
JPML denies the MDL Motion and this Court does not consolidate these related cases, twenty-  
one (21) days after this Court denies the motions to consolidate.

Dated: 12/19/13

